Loma Vista School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Infor	School Contact Information			
School Name	Loma Vista School			
Street	2404 Marigold Avenue			
City, State, Zip	Chico, CA 95926			
Phone Number	(530) 879-7400			
Principal	Eric Snedeker			
E-mail Address	esnedeke@chicousd.org			
CDS Code	04-61424-0430215			

District Contact Information		
District Name	Chico Unified School District	
Phone Number	(530) 891-3000	
Web Site	www.chicousd.org	
Superintendent	Kelly Staley	
E-mail Address	kstaley@chicousd.org	

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Loma Vista School prides itself on high expectations for student achievement and rigorous academic programs. The Loma Vista School community provides a quality academic and social education that promotes personal success. This is accomplished through standards-based instruction, assessment, and high academic and behavioral expectations in a safe, engaging, language rich environment.

Loma Vista School students feel safe in a well-disciplined environment where everyone is respectful, responsible, and resourceful. Loma Vista School is known for its unified and cooperative staff. Teachers along with their administrators demonstrate a clear understanding of academic standards. Our office staff is welcoming, efficient, and professional.

At Loma Vista School we believe that all children can learn. Learning is a lifelong process. A positive attitude leads to positive outcomes. Everybody deserves to be treated respectfully. Discipline and motivation are keys to learning. High academic and behavioral expectations promote success. Education is an individual and cooperative endeavor.

Loma Vista School provides services for students with a variety of disabilities. Loma Vista primarily houses pre-school programs for children ages 3-5 years with identified disabilities. There are eight pre-school classrooms and one classroom for elementary/secondary students with moderate to severe disabilities. Specific descriptions of these classrooms are as follows: six self-contained preschool special day classrooms; two full-inclusion preschool special day classrooms; and one multi-age special day class for young adults. Classrooms serve students disabilities including delays in language development, academic readiness, behavior, and autism. Classrooms are organized to serve students based on their age and areas of assessed need.

Loma Vista has a strong mainstreaming and integration emphasis. Located on the Loma Vista campus is a non-profit pre-school program serving typically developing peers. The "Innovative Preschool" program provides students from Loma Vista School opportunities for inclusion in both academic and social/recreational programs. All classrooms offer a low student-to-teacher ratio with an emphasis placed upon developing skills in communication, behavioral management, functional life skills, social development, and pre-academic/life skills training.

Loma Vista's mission embraces Individual Student Academic Success via a K-12 Sequence of Teaching, Learning, Assessment and Support. The staff strives to provide a comprehensive educational program aligned to the state content standards and based on each student's Individualized Educational Plan (IEP). Program goals and objectives (standards) are aligned to the State preschool Learning Foundations.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Loma Vista School encourages parents and community members to volunteer in classrooms to assist staff with the education of children. Classrooms have parent volunteers that assist at centers and/or with the making of educational materials, and monitoring of students on field trips. Parents are active in school ceremonies and school projects. In addition to regular school events such as music programs, back to school night, open house, parent conferences, holiday programs, etc. parents are encouraged to participate in the Community Advisory Committee (CAC), parent training programs and various support groups. The CAC and support groups provide opportunity for parents to network with other parents, to learn more about special education and to have input into the development of special education programs.

III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Standardized resting and re	Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Teal Companison								
		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							
Subject		School			District			State	
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts		40		58	58	58	54	56	55
Mathematics		20		51	51	52	49	50	50
Science				67	68	67	57	60	59
History-Social Science				59	56	58	48	49	49

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science			
All Students in the LEA	58	52	67	58			
All Student at the School							
Male							
Female							
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White							
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services							

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB).

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cambrida 11611 Delibert Externitiation Results for 7th Grade Fell Stadents Times Fed Companion									
			Percent o	of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Subject		School			District			State	
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts				67	60	59	59	56	57
Mathematics				65	60	66	56	58	60

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

<u> </u>	Engl	ish-Language	Arts	Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	41	24	34	34	43	22
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide			С
Similar Schools			С

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change						
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
All Students at the School		В					
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White							
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2013 Growth API							
Group	School		Dist	trict	Sta	State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API		
All Students at the School			8,818	807	4,655,989	790		
Black or African American			315	718	296,463	708		
American Indian or Alaska Native			151	747	30,394	743		
Asian			547	786	406,527	906		
Filipino			62	890	121,054	867		
Hispanic or Latino			1,947	738	2,438,951	744		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			57	785	25,351	774		
White			5,550	839	1,200,127	853		
Two or More Races			107	756	125,025	824		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			4,561	745	2,774,640	743		
English Learners			1,232	684	1,482,316	721		
Students with Disabilities			1,063	626	527,476	615		

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

	1	7:
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		91.7

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 4	2
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	2
Grade 9	2
Grade 10	1
Grade 12	1
Total Enrollment	10

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	10.0	White	80.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	Two or More Races	0.0
Asian	0.0	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50.0
Filipino	0.0	English Learners	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	10.0	Students with Disabilities	100.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	2010-11			,	2011-12			2012-13				
Grade	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	rooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	rooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	rooms
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20 21-32 33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+		
К												
1												
2												
3												
4	1	1	0	0	8	1	0	0	2	1		
5					1	1	0	0				
6									1	1		
Other												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject		2010-11				2011-12			2012-13			
	Avg.	Avg. Number of Classrooms		srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Loma Vista School has a comprehensive school safety plan that identifies major safety concerns and specific prevention and action strategies that involve school personnel, community members and local law enforcement agencies. Our plan ensures a safe and secure campus by teaching prevention strategies and school rules along with practicing of fire exiting, code red lock down, and take cover drills. Our School Safety Committee will review the school safety plans, procedures drills, and school rules that promote a safe learning environment. The school safety plans also outline procedures for allowing visitors on campus and providing crisis intervention support to students and staff. A School Crisis Team has been identified and trained and meets throughout the year to address school safety concerns.

Suspensions and Expulsions

		School		District			
Rate	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
Suspensions	196.00	9.52	0	8.95	4.57	5.77	
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.59	0.62	0.67	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Loma Vista School has classrooms, playgrounds, speech rooms, therapy rooms, and recreational areas. Our facilities are well maintained and clean. We have a regular maintenance and cleaning schedule, regular and long-range building maintenance and repair, and lawn and grounds upkeep. We have adequate playground space and equipment for our facilities. All classrooms meet state requirements relating to instructional space. Classrooms are designed to provide areas for large group, individual, and small group learning activities. In addition, most classrooms have sink areas for washing hands. The multi-purpose room has a kitchen area for teaching daily living skills and serving breakfast and lunch. Separate bathroom facilities for boys and girls are located within the building, outside the building and/or in classrooms. The Chico Unified School District takes great efforts to ensure that all facilities are in good repair, clean, safe, and functional. Adult supervision is available from the start of school to the end of school. Adult supervision is provided in all school settings including the bus area, playground, multi-purpose area, and the gym. Teachers are available in their classrooms both before school and after school for students who are either unable or prefer not to be outside. Classroom visitors must follow procedures identified at the school site. Loma Vista requires that all visitors sign in at the office and obtain a visitor's pass before going onto the campus.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

	School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) Year and month in which data were collected: October 2013								
System Inspected	R	Repair Statu	ıs	Repair Needed and					
System inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned					
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	Reattach Ceiling Tiles Room 2: WO# 52054 Repair/Replace Stained Ceiling Tile Room 3: WO# 52054					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]						
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]						

Overall Facility Rate

Overell Beding	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

		District		
Teachers	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	10	9	14	599
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	2	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

Laurelian of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
All Schools in District	96.1	3.9				
High-Poverty Schools in District	95.2	4.8				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.9	2.1				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)				
Psychologist	1.6			
Social Worker				
Nurse	1.2			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	3			
Resource Specialist				
Other				

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2012

The Chico Unified School District is aligned with the State Curriculum/Textbook program. Since many of our classes utilize a varying approach to education, teachers continuously seek and maintain a wide variety of high interest materials from various sources.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
Mathematics	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
Science	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
History-Social Science	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
Foreign Language	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
Health	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
Visual and Performing Arts	SEACO Materials	Yes	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	SEACO Materials	Yes	0

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$25,380.81	\$20,227.45	\$5,153.36	\$57,131.00
District			\$5,648.82	\$63,409
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-8.8	-9.9
State			\$5,537	\$68,841
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-6.9	-17.0

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Students identified for needing supplemental services are provided such services in accordance with Special Education policies and procedures. The district, as outlined in each student's IEP provides Designed Instructional Support (DIS) services. These services may consist of: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Speech and Language, and Adapted Physical Education. Other support services provided from the local SELPA (Butte County Office of Education) office are: Program Specialist in the area of Behavior Management, Autism, and Assistive Technology.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$38,121	\$40,933
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$53,164	\$65,087
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,737	\$84,436
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$89,920	\$106,715
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$97,238	\$111,205
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$102,804	\$120,506
Superintendent Salary	\$164,900	\$207,812
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.8%	39.8%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.5%	5.1%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/.

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

la diseten		School			District			State	
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Dropout Rate	0.00		0.00	13.30		10.20	16.60	14.70	13.10
Graduation Rate			0.00	85.79	86.13	85.37	80.53	77.14	78.73

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2012			
	School	District	State	
All Students				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2012-13)

dareer rediffication ratiospation (benedit real 2022 20)				
Measure	CTE Program Participation			
Number of pupils participating in CTE				
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma				
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education				

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English		
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language		
Mathematics		
Science		
Social Science		
All courses		

^{*} Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Professional Development is an ongoing process at Loma Vista School, which is highly coordinated with the district and state expectations. We are continuing to align our teaching to the recently adopted preschool standards for each curriculum area.

Staff receives training on the adopted SEACO curriculum, Desired Results Developmental Profile, Preschool Learning Foundations, and assessment practices. In addition, teachers attended workshops on a variety of areas related to teaching and learning. Focus areas include "Best Practices" in working with children with Autism, Behavior Management, Effective Instructional Strategies, and Classroom Management.